

Aug 5

Jim Keeshley
Feb 89

Sept 14 Cornis col - appearing
New Yorker for Huey; forfeiting
copyright - no cartoons - eds (?)
- used on radio, admitted
first real debate...

col is lost

Beginning of sustained fallacy that
dropping Bomb was wrong -
like Roger Conner

Start over beginning power is not
to Huey, Sept 23 - suggesting...

McKewen is helping Stinson draft main
charges Reichenbach



Assessing the Modern Age - in Oakland

Robert Mueser on trial - hst's
drama

movie:

The Beginning or the End?

Came out in '47

(just pressure to change the movie
to make clear hst gave just word

orig. version shows hst

invited a ~~star~~ scene at Pasadena
hst/Bing

Sept 12, 1946

Wm. Hallford - Bing in fall '45,
^{and}
Court said, Children of Light

NYT 6 March

March '46 Federal Council of Churches
signed Resolutions

14?
14 Feb 89

Conant

(My section man, Nat lei 4)

(on paradigms: relation to — ?)

Hypo: 1) attitude to gas, in 1918-18...

gas, like napalm

[not yet (Kinds?) used on
civilians — i.e. of air delivery
(“yellow rain”)
(what it's really made for)]

as “one weapon”

can be used 2) without aiming

(Mazis: better than MG's) (not “line of sight”)

b) at a distance (on cities: area target
vaccinate, but deadly)

i.e., “why were the bullets or shells?”

it leads to change in type of target —

to civilians. (Wf not? scale,
and non-combatants)

(moral shift: to terror).

So Conant was implicated in shift to

terror of USAF, and largest act of terror: Tokyo.

Have to be aware of A-bomb target: city: cloud sunshade.

see chain of wonders' houses of British:
close together. Kill many
(see Kelley to Fisher).

HERSHBERG

Hypp: Commit promises: (Hershberg's reference)

- Bank can't be stopped in WWII
(except at cost of its assoc. with Elite Team
Blunt)

(Hence, given his complicity: (Tolgo + Hershberg)
to commit - It is Good for L-R, + necessary (perhaps
Americans to official)
give it up! (He shows unclear later; like Office

Even though I think may have been potential earlier
by being S-R monopoly! and need to-day! → denied, obsession, fear
and for how to forego - to get this, avoid that,
even though they could get day this
S-R party ...

To fight ^{of Bank} Use - and probably fail -
is to give up belief, "Use is, a balance,

good } see Dec
essential

unavailable "

which protects and 1) hope

2) self-esteem, given
prior wisdom, or
general identification with
production, and continual assoc.

see notes on Conant

Compare me on 1) accident:

- Guernsey - to find me
- she deserved it
- I didn't do it.
- I will pay for it / my freedom
by doing something noble
by dying (young)
- by dying, scrupulously
USMC, ^{Korea}, Ling; (Martin, 1956)
mountain - climbing in spirit?



12.

Adams ^h 498-2038

Lee Ryan-Jones
495-1411

495-1400

495-1411



"Reasons for Banks" can be seen as manif
or as "Banks" (Haw A.) (only) if decision is seen as
open, unilateral, cancelable, a choice for best,
in may - June - July (esp. July)

(Other matters were open: - Emperor/UCS
- SU entry denied or not
(still July 16)

(avoiding city body - non-acc - was not even
mentioned considered

But was there Emperor offer considered
in some context as SU entry, desire to
intimidate Banks? (Kemp was going tell
Banks usual)?

But: AXIOM: INERTIA: 1) "A good reason is not needed
to continue a project, carry it out, complete it,
"keep a promise"

2) - even with original reasons for planning it,
promising, starting, are no longer valid or compelling;
milgram - even with there are (or should be) reasons for
not continuing (if not "necessary")
esp. e.g. "moral" reasons
|| affects or "involve" third parties,
(when original reasons - though
no longer valid - had been seen as
justifying the harm to involve
third parties.

3) - esp. when decision (and esp. if open) is secret.
- Although it could be "cancelable relatively easily",
it is less risky to pursue it, despite "moral risks"
(i.e. moral risks are even less inhibiting than for open decisions,
a reason for pursuing).